1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
9	AT TACOMA		
10			
11	TERRANCE JON IRBY,	CASE NO. C15-5208 RJB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING	
13	v.	APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND	
14	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE FILING FEE	
15	Defendants.	THE THEM OF EE	
16	This matter comes before the court on the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate		
17	Judge. Dkt. 12. The court has considered the relevant record, including plaintiff's objections		
18	(Dkt. 13), plaintiff's second application to proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 14), and plaintiff's		
19	First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 14-1).		
20	On April 28, 2015, U.S. Magistrate Judge Karen L. Strombom issued a Report and		
21	Recommendation, recommending that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be		
22	denied because he has filed three or more civil actions or appeals that were dismissed as		
23			
24	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, DENYING ADDITIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA		

RECOMMENDATION, DENYING APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE FILING FEE- 1 frivolous and for failure to state a claim; and because the complaint does not show that he is in imminent danger of serious injury. Dkt. 12.

On May 8, 2015, plaintiff filed objections to the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 13 and 13-1), a second application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Dkt. 14), and a First Amended Complaint (Dkt. 14-1). The court has construed all of the documents plaintiff has filed in considering the pending Report and Recommendation. Plaintiff essentially repeats the allegations that he set forth in his original complaint (challenging prison requirements that he sleep in a position that is near to a table in his cell and that resulted in injuries to him when he fell off of his bunk, and management of his medication). He also adds claims about the denial of adequate mental health services, and food and diet issues. The court concurs with the magistrate judge, who concluded that plaintiff had not shown that he is in imminent danger of serious injury.

The court agrees with the analysis in the Report and Recommendation. The record shows that plaintiff has filed three or more cases during his incarceration that were dismissed by the district court and the Ninth Circuit U.S. Court of Appeals on the grounds that they were frivolous or failed to state a claim. Plaintiff has failed to allege facts sufficient to show that he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. Accordingly, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), plaintiff's applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Dkts. 1 and 14) should be denied.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. 12) is **ADOPTED**. Plaintiff's applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Dkts. 1 and 14) are DENIED. Not later than June 12, 2015, plaintiff is **ORDERED** to pay the \$400.00 filing fee to the Clerk of the Court. If plaintiff fails to pay the \$400 filing fee by June 12, 2015, the court will dismiss this case.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND
RECOMMENDATION, DENYING
APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO
PAY THE FILING FEE- 2

The Clerk is directed to send uncertified copies of this Order to all counsel of record and to any party appearing pro se at said party's last known address. Dated this 18<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2015. ROBERT J. BRYAN United States District Judge ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND

RECOMMENDATION, DENYING APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE FILING FEE- 3